

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

18 June 2019

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Nakharutai, Nawapon and Troffaes, Matthias C. M. and Caiado, Camila (2019) 'Improving and benchmarking of algorithms for decision making with lower previsions.', ISIPTA 2019 Ghent, Belgium, 3-6 July 2019.

Further information on publisher's website:

<http://www.isipta2019.ugent.be/>

Publisher's copyright statement:

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Improving and benchmarking of algorithms for decision making with lower previsions

Nawapon Nakharutai*

Matthias C. M. Troffaes

Camila C. S. Caiado

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, England

NAWAPON.NAKHARUTAI@DURHAM.AC.UK

MATTHIAS.TROFFAES@DURHAM.AC.UK

C.C.S.CAIADO@DURHAM.AC.UK

Maximality is a well-known criterion for decision making under severe uncertainty using lower previsions. To determine whether a gamble is maximal or not, in Jansen et al.'s algorithm [1], one can solve a single linear program, while in Troffaes and Hable's algorithm [4], one can solve a sequence of smaller linear programs. Troffaes and Hable [4] suggested that (i) if some maximal gambles in the sets are known, then we should compare the remaining gambles against those maximal gambles first, (ii) if a gamble is not maximal in a given iteration, then it can be excluded from all future iterations, and (iii) sorting all gambles in advance, e.g. by expectation, could help the algorithm to perform better.

In this poster, we present a new fast algorithm for finding maximal gambles that incorporates these suggestions, and compare its performance to these two existing algorithms. To do so, we propose a new method for generating random decision problems with pre-specified ratios of maximal and interval dominant gambles. This work closely follows [3] which was recently submitted.

Based on our earlier work [2], we apply efficient ways to find common feasible starting points in our proposed algorithm and in Troffaes and Hable's algorithm [4]. We then exploit these feasible starting points to develop early stopping criteria for the primal-dual interior point method, further improving efficiency. We find that the primal-dual interior point method works well for this case as it simultaneously solves primal and dual problems.

We also investigate the use of interval dominance, which is another criterion for decision making, to eliminate non-maximal gambles. This can make the problem smaller, and we observe that this benefits Jansen et al.'s algorithm but, perhaps surprisingly, not the other two algorithms. We find that our algorithm, without using interval dominance, outperforms all other algorithms in all scenarios in our benchmarking.

References

- [1] Christoph Jansen, Thomas Augustin, and Georg Schollmeyer. Decision theory meets linear optimization beyond computation. In Alessandro Antonucci, Laurence Cholvy, and Odile Papini, editors, *Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty*, pages 329–339, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-61581-3.
- [2] N. Nakharutai, M. C. M. Troffaes, and C. C. S. Caiado. Improved linear programming methods for checking avoiding sure loss. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 101:293–310, October 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.ijar.2018.07.013.
- [3] Nawapon Nakharutai, Matthias C.M. Troffaes, and Camila C.S. Caiado. Improving and benchmarking of algorithms for decision making with lower previsions. Submitted to *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*.
- [4] Matthias C. M. Troffaes and Robert Hable. *Introduction to Imprecise Probabilities*, chapter Computation, pages 329–337. Wiley, 2014. doi: 10.1002/9781118763117.ch16.

* This result has been supported by Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (Royal Government of Thailand scholarship).